arXiv:0804.4735v2 [math.AG] 22 Aug 2008

Twisted Fourier-Mukai number of a $K3$ surface

Shouhei Ma
Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo
3-8-1 Komaba Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
E-mail: sma@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Abstract

We give a counting formula for the twisted Fourier-Mukai partners of a projective $K3$ surface. As an application, we describe all twisted Fourier-Mukai partners of a projective $K3$ surface of Picard number 1.

1 Introduction

Fourier-Mukai (FM) partners of a projective $K3$ surface S have been studied since Mukai's work [8]. The basic results due to Mukai and Orlov ([10]) are as follows :

(1) If a 2-dimensional moduli space M of stable sheaves on S is non-empty and compact, then M is a $K3$ surface. When M is *fine*, a universal sheaf induces an equivalence $D^b(M) \simeq D^b(S)$.

(2) Every FM partner of S is isomorphic to a certain 2-dimensional *fine* moduli space of stable sheaves on S.

(3) A projective $K3$ surface S' is a FM partner of S if and only if their Mukai lattices are Hodge isometric.

Using Mukai-Orlov's theorem (especially (3)), Hosono-Lian-Oguiso-Yau ([5]) derived a counting formula for the FM partners of S.

On the other hand, it has been recognized that studying *twisted* FM partners as well as usual (i.e, untwisted) FM partners of S is important when analyzing the derived category $D^b(S)$ of S. Recall that a twisted FM partner of S is a twisted $K3$ surface (S', α') such that there is an equivalence $D^b(S', \alpha') \simeq D^b(S)$. Căldăraru ([1], [2]), Huybrechts-Stellari ([6], [7]), and Yoshioka ([13]) generalized Mukai and Orlov's results to twisted situation. When S is untwisted, their results can be stated as follows :

(1) When a 2-dimensional *coarse* moduli space M of stable sheaves on S is a $K3$ surface, a *twisted* universal sheaf induces an equivalence $D^b(M, \alpha) \simeq D^b(S)$, where α is the obstruction to the existence of a universal sheaf.

(2) Every twisted FM partner of S is isomorphic to a certain 2-dimensional *coarse* moduli space of stable sheaves on S endowed with a natural twisting.

(3) A twisted $K3$ surface (S', α') is a twisted FM partner of S if and only if the twisted Mukai lattice of (S', α') and the Mukai lattice of S are Hodge isometric.

Let $\mathrm{FM}^d(S)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of twisted FM partners (S', α') of S with $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha') = d$. The number $\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S)$ is an invariant of the category $D^b(S)$ representing the number of certain geometric origins of $D^b(S)$. The aim of this paper is to present an explicit formula for $\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S)$. It enables us to calculate $\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S)$ from lattice-theoretic informations about the Neron-Severi lattice $NS(S)$ and the knowledge of the group $O_{Hodge}(T(S))$ of the Hodge isometries of the transcendental lattice $T(S)$.

Let $I^d(D_{NS(S)})$ be the set of order $= d$ isotropic elements of the discriminant form $D_{NS(S)}$. From an element $x \in I^d(D_{NS(S)})$ we can construct overlattices M_x, T_x of $NS(S)$, $T(S)$ respectively, and a homomorphism $\alpha_x : T_x \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. After defining certain subsets $\mathcal{G}_1(M)$, $\mathcal{G}_2(M)$ of the genus $\mathcal{G}(M)$ of a lattice M such that $\mathcal{G}(M) = \mathcal{G}_1(M) \sqcup \mathcal{G}_2(M)$, our formula is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.2)**.** *For a projective $K3$ surface S the following formula holds.*

$$\begin{aligned}\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S) \quad = \quad & \sum_x \left\{ \sum_M \# \Big(O_{Hodge}(T_x, \alpha_x) \backslash O(D_M) / O(M) \Big) \right. \\ & \left. + \, \varepsilon(d) \sum_{M'} \# \Big(O_{Hodge}(T_x, \alpha_x) \backslash O(D_{M'}) / O(M') \Big) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Here x runs over the set $O_{Hodge}(T(S)) \backslash I^d(D_{NS(S)})$ and the lattices M, M' run over the sets $\mathcal{G}_1(M_x)$, $\mathcal{G}_2(M_x)$ respectively. The natural number $\varepsilon(d)$ is defined by $\varepsilon(d) = 1$ if $d = 1, 2$, and $\varepsilon(d) = 2$ if $d \geq 3$.

When $d = 1$, Theorem 1.1 is Hosono-Lian-Oguiso-Yau's formula. Even though Theorem 1.1 seems complicated in appearance, it is rather adequate for calculations for the following reasons :

- The genus $\mathcal{G}(M)$, and the homomorphism $O(M) \to O(D_M)$ have been studied in lattice theory, and much is known (cf. [9]).
- If we can identify the discriminant form $D_{NS(S)}$, it is easy to calculate $I^d(D_{NS(S)})$.
- The group $O_{Hodge}(T(S))$ and its subgroup $O_{Hodge}(T_x, \alpha_x)$ are cyclic, and the Euler function of the order of $O_{Hodge}(T(S))$ must divides $\mathrm{rk}(T(S))$ (cf. [5]). For example, $O_{Hodge}(T(S)) = \{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ whenever $\mathrm{rk}(T(S))$ is odd.

In fact, we shall derive more simple formulas for $\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S)$ for several classes of $K3$ surfaces : Jacobian $K3$ surfaces, in particular $K3$ surfaces with $\mathrm{rk}(NS(S)) \geq 13$, $K3$ surfaces with 2-elementary $NS(S)$, and $K3$ surfaces with $\mathrm{rk}(NS(S)) = 1$.

As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain an upper bound for the twisted FM number of a *twisted* $K3$ surface (Proposition 4.3). The sharpness of the estimate is related with a Căldăraru's problem stated in [1] (Remark 3.7). Note that if a twisted $K3$ surface (S', α') has an untwisted FM partner, e.g, if $\mathrm{rk}(NS(S')) \geq 12$ ([6]), then Theorem 1.1 gives a counting formula for the twisted FM number of (S', α').

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we shall describe all twisted FM partners of a $K3$ surface of Picard number 1 as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.3)**.** *Let S be a projective $K3$ surface with $NS(S) = \mathbb{Z}H$, $(H, H) = 2n$. We have $\mathrm{FM}^d(S) \neq \phi$ if and only if $d^2|n$. For a natural number d with $d^2|n$, let $\{v_{\sigma,k} \mid (\sigma, k) \in \Sigma \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times\}$ be the primitive isotropic vectors in $\widetilde{NS}(S)$ defined by (5). Let $M_{\sigma,k}$ be the moduli space of Gieseker stable sheaves on S with Mukai vector $v_{\sigma,k}$, endowed with the obstruction $\alpha_{\sigma,k} \in \mathrm{Br}(M_{\sigma,k})$ to the existence of a universal sheaf.*

(1) *If $d^2 < n$ or $d \leq 2$, then*

$$\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = \left\{ (M_{\sigma,k}, \alpha_{\sigma,k}) \mid (\sigma, k) \in \Sigma \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times \right\}.$$

(2) *Assume that $d^2 = n$ and $d \geq 3$. Choose a set $\{j\} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of representatives of $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = \{ (M_{\sigma,k}, \alpha_{\sigma,k}) \mid (\sigma, k) \in \Sigma \times \{j\} \}.$$

Theorem 1.2 is a twisted generalization of a result of [4].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect.2.1, we prepare some lattice theory following [9]. In Sect.2.2, we recall from [1] and [6] several facts about twisted $K3$ surfaces. In Sect.3.1, we describe a quotient set of $\mathrm{FM}^d(S)$ in terms of lattice theory. In Sect.3.2 and 3.3, we describe the fibers of the quotient map in terms of lattice theory. In Sect.4, we derive Theorem 1.1 and its corollaries. In Sect.5, we prove Theorem 1.2.

Notation. By an *even lattice*, we mean a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module L equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(,) : L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $(x, x) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in L$. The group of isometries of L is denoted by $O(L)$. For two lattices L and M, $\mathrm{Emb}(L, M)$ is the set of the primitive embeddings of L into M. An element $l \in L$ is said to be *isotropic* if $(l, l) = 0$. The *hyperbolic plane* U is the even indefinite unimodular lattice $\mathbb{Z}e + \mathbb{Z}f$, $(e, e) = (f, f) = 0$, $(e, f) = 1$.

By a $K3$ *surface*, we mean a projective $K3$ surface over $\mathbb{C}$. The Neron-Severi (resp. transcendental) lattice of a $K3$ surface S is denoted by $NS(S)$ (resp. $T(S)$). Set $\widetilde{NS}(S) := H^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus NS(S) \oplus H^4(S, \mathbb{Z})$, $\Lambda_{K3} := U^3 \oplus E_8^2$, and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{K3} := U \oplus \Lambda_{K3} = U^4 \oplus E_8^2$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Shinobu Hosono for conversations that inspired this work. His thanks also go to Professors Keiji Oguiso and Ken-Ichi Yoshikawa for valuable comments. The idea of constructing moduli spaces in Section 5 is due to Professor Oguiso.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Even lattices

For an even lattice L, we can associate a finite Abelian group $D_L := L^\vee/L$ equipped with a quadratic form $q_L : D_L \to \mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z},\ q_L(x+L) = (x,x) + 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $x \in L^\vee$. We call (D_L, q_L) the *discriminant form* of L. There is a natural homomorphism $r_L : O(L) \to O(D_L, q_L)$, whose kernel is denoted by $O(L)_0$. We often write just (D_L, q) or D_L (resp. r) instead of (D_L, q_L) (resp. r_L). Set

$$I^d(D_L) := \{\, x \in D_L \mid q_L(x) = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z},\ \mathrm{ord}(x) = d \,\}.$$

Proposition 2.1 ([9]). *Let M be a primitive sublattice of an even unimodular lattice L with the orthogonal complement $M^\perp$. Then*

(1) *There is an isometry $\lambda : (D_M, q_M) \overset{\simeq}{\to} (D_{M^\perp}, -q_{M^\perp})$.*

(2) *For two isometries $\gamma_M \in O(M)$ and $\gamma_{M^\perp} \in O(M^\perp)$, there is an isometry $\gamma_L \in O(L)$ such that $\gamma_L|_{M\oplus M^\perp} = \gamma_M \oplus \gamma_{M^\perp}$ if and only if $\lambda \circ r_M(\gamma_M) = r_{M^\perp}(\gamma_{M^\perp}) \circ \lambda$.*

Two even lattices L and L' are said to be *isogenus* if $L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq L' \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ for every prime number p and $\mathrm{sign}(L) = \mathrm{sign}(L')$. By [9], these are equivalent to the conditions that $(D_L, q) \simeq (D_{L'}, q)$ and $\mathrm{sign}(L) = \mathrm{sign}(L')$. The set of the isometry classes of the lattices isogenus to L is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(L)$.

Proposition 2.2 ([9]). *Let $l(D_L)$ be the minimal number of the generators of D_L. If L is indefinite and $\mathrm{rk}(L) \geq l(D_L) + 2$, then $\mathcal{G}(L) = \{L\}$ and the homomorphism r_L is surjective.*

Let L be a lattice of $\mathrm{sign}(L) = (b_+, b_-)$, $b_+ > 0$. We denote by Ω_L the set of oriented positive-definite b_+-planes in $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$, which is an open subset of the oriented Grassmannian and has two connected components. A choice of a component of Ω_L, which is equivalent to a choice of an orientation for a positive-definite b_+-plane in $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$, is sometimes called an *orientation* of L. For example, for a $K3$ surface S the lattice $\widetilde{NS}(S)$ equipped with the Mukai pairing is of $\mathrm{sign}(\widetilde{NS}(S)) = (2, \rho(S))$. We define the orientation of $\widetilde{NS}(S)$ so that $\mathbb{R}(1, 0, -1) \oplus \mathbb{R}(0, H, 0)$ is of positive orientation, where $H \in NS(S)$ is an ample class.

For a subgroup $\Gamma \subset O(L)$, let Γ^+ be the subgroup of Γ consisting of orientation-preserving isometries in Γ. The group Γ^+ is of index at most 2 in Γ. We define the subsets $\mathcal{G}_1(L), \mathcal{G}_2(L) \subset \mathcal{G}(L)$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_1(L) := \{L' \in \mathcal{G}(L) \mid O(L')_0^+ \neq O(L')_0\},$$
$$\mathcal{G}_2(L) := \{L' \in \mathcal{G}(L) \mid O(L')_0^+ = O(L')_0\}.$$

We have the obvious decomposition $\mathcal{G}(L) = \mathcal{G}_1(L) \sqcup \mathcal{G}_2(L)$. When b_+ is odd, we have $O(L)_0^+ \neq O(L)_0$ if and only if $-\mathrm{id}_{D_L}$ is contained in $r_L(O(L)^+)$.

2.2 Twisted $K3$ surfaces

Let (S, α) be a twisted $K3$ surface, where α is an element of the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(S)$. Via the exponential sequence

$$0 \to Pic(S) \to H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_S) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_S^\times) \to 1,$$

there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Br}(S) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(T(S), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. We identify a twisting $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(S)$ with a surjective homomorphism $\alpha : T(S) \to \mathbb{Z}/\mathrm{ord}(\alpha)\mathbb{Z}$, whose kernel is denoted by $T(S, \alpha)$. So the identity of $\mathrm{Br}(S)$ is denoted by 0 and the inverse of $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(S)$ is denoted by $-\alpha$.

Definition 2.3. Set

$$\begin{aligned} O_{Hodge}(T(S), \alpha) &:= \{g \in O_{Hodge}(T(S)),\ g^*\alpha = \alpha\}, \\ \Gamma(S, \alpha) &:= r_{\widetilde{NS}(S)}^{-1}(\lambda \circ r_{T(S)}(O_{Hodge}(T(S), \alpha))), \end{aligned}$$

where $O_{Hodge}(T(S))$ is the group of the Hodge isometries of $T(S)$, and $\lambda : O(D_{T(S)}) \overset{\simeq}{\to} O(D_{\widetilde{NS}(S)})$ is the isomorphism induced from the isometry $\lambda_{\widetilde{H}(S,\mathbb{Z})} : (D_{T(S)}, q) \simeq (D_{\widetilde{NS}(S)}, -q)$.

From the inclusions $T(S, \alpha) \subset T(S) \subset T(S, \alpha)^\vee$, we have the isomorphism

$$O_{Hodge}(T(S), \alpha) \simeq \Big\{ g \in O_{Hodge}(T(S, \alpha)),\ r(g)(\alpha^{-1}(\bar{1})) = \alpha^{-1}(\bar{1}) \ \in D_{T(S,\alpha)} \Big\},$$

where $\bar{1} \in \mathbb{Z}/\mathrm{ord}(\alpha)\mathbb{Z}$. In generic case, $O_{Hodge}(T(S), \alpha) = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ if $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha) \geq 3$ and $O_{Hodge}(T(S), \alpha) = \{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ if $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha) \leq 2$.

We need the following corollary of Huybrechts-Stellari's theorem.

Proposition 2.4 ([1], [6], [13], [7])**.** *Let S be a $K3$ surface and let (S', α') be a twisted $K3$ surface. Then there exists an equivalence $D^b(S', \alpha') \simeq D^b(S)$ if and only if there exists a Hodge isometry $T(S) \simeq T(S', \alpha')$.*

Proof. By the theorem of Canonaco-Stellari ([3]), every equivalence between derived categories of twisted $K3$ surfaces is of Fourier-Mukai type. Thus only "if" part requires an explanation.

Assume that there is a Hodge isometry $T(S) \simeq T(S', \alpha')$. Let $B' \in H^2(S', \mathbb{Q})$ be a B-field lift of α'. Since there is a Hodge isometry $T(S', \alpha') \simeq T(S', B')$, we obtain a Hodge isometry $g : T(S) \simeq T(S', B')$. By Proposition 2.1, $\widetilde{NS}(S)$ and $\widetilde{NS}(S', B')$ are isogenus. Because $\widetilde{NS}(S)$ admits an embedding of the hyperbolic plane U, it follows from Proposition 2.2 that g extends to a Hodge isometry $\widetilde{\Phi} : \widetilde{H}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \widetilde{H}(S', B', \mathbb{Z})$. Composing $\widetilde{\Phi}$ with the isometry $-\mathrm{id}_{H^0(S)+H^4(S)} \oplus \mathrm{id}_{H^2(S)}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is orientation-preserving. Now we have $D^b(S', \alpha') \simeq D^b(S)$ by Huybrechts-Stellari's theorem. $\square$

When $\alpha' = 0$, Proposition 2.4 is Mukai-Orlov's theorem ([8], [10]).

Definition 2.5. For a twisted $K3$ surface (S, α), set

$$\mathrm{FM}^d(S,\alpha) := \Big\{(S', \alpha') : \mathrm{twisted}K3\mathrm{surface}, D^b(S', \alpha') \simeq D^b(S, \alpha), \mathrm{ord}(\alpha') = d\Big\}/ \simeq .$$

A member $(S', \alpha') \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$ is called a *twisted Fourier-Mukai* (FM) partner of (S, α). It is easily seen that $\mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$ is empty unless d^2 divides $\det(T(S, \alpha))$.

Definition 2.6. Set

$$\mathcal{FM}^d(S, \alpha) := \mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha)/ \sim,$$

where two twisted FM partners (S_1, α_1), $(S_2, \alpha_2) \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$ are equivalent if there exists a Hodge isometry $g : T(S_1) \simeq T(S_2)$ with $g^*\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$. Denote by

$$\pi : \mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$$

the quotient map.

We remark that for a twisted $K3$ surface (S, α) with a B-field lift $B \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$, the period of S is determined by the natural Hodge isometry

$$H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Big((0, 0, 1)^\perp \cap \widetilde{H}(S, B, \mathbb{Z})\Big)/\mathbb{Z}(0, 0, 1).$$

In Section 5, we shall calculate twistings in terms of discriminant group.

Lemma 2.7. *Let (S, α) be a twisted $K3$ surface with a B-field lift $B \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$. We denote $d := \mathrm{ord}(\alpha)$ and $\lambda := \lambda_{\widetilde{H}(S,B,\mathbb{Z})} : (D_{\widetilde{NS}(S,B)}, q) \simeq (D_{T(S,B)}, -q)$. Then $(0, 0, \frac{-1}{d}) \in \widetilde{NS}(S, B)^\vee$ and we have the Hodge isometry*

$$\mathrm{e}^B := \exp(B)\wedge : T(S) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Big\langle \lambda((0, 0, \frac{-1}{d})),\ T(S, B)\Big\rangle. \tag{1}$$

The twisting α is given by

$$T(S) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{e}^B} \mathrm{e}^B(T(S))/T(S, B) \simeq \Big\langle \lambda((0, 0, \frac{-1}{d}))\Big\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Since $\alpha : T(S) \to \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective, we can take a transcendental cycle $l \in T(S)$ such that $(l, B) = \frac{1}{d} + k$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Setting $l' := \mathrm{e}^B(l) = l + (0, 0, \frac{1}{d} + k) \in T(S, B)^\vee$, we have $\mathrm{e}^B : T(S) \simeq \langle l', T(S, B)\rangle$. Since $l' - (0, 0, \frac{1}{d}) \in \widetilde{H}(S, B, \mathbb{Z})$, it follows that $((0, 0, \frac{-1}{d}), m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $m \in \widetilde{NS}(S, B)$. By the relation $\lambda((0, 0, \frac{-1}{d})) = l' \in D_{T(S,B)}$, we have (1). Then (2) follows from the relation $\alpha(l) = \bar{1} \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

More precisely, the divisibility of $(0, 0, 1) \in \widetilde{NS}(S, B)$ is equal to d, but we do not need this fact in the following.

3 Lattice-theoretic descriptions

3.1 Isotropic elements of the discriminant form

Let (S, α) be a twisted $K3$ surface. We write $T := T(S, \alpha)$, which is an even lattice of $\mathrm{sign}(T) = (2, 20 - \rho(S))$ equipped with a period. For a twisted FM partner $(S_1, \alpha_1) \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$ there exists a Hodge isometry $g_1 : T(S_1, \alpha_1) \simeq T$ by Huybrechts-Stellari's theorem ([6]). Then g_1 and α_1 induce an isomorphism $g_1(T(S_1))/T \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. The subgroup $g_1(T(S_1))/T \subset D_T$ is an isotropic cyclic group of order$= d$.

Definition 3.1. Define the map

$$\mu : \mathcal{FM}^d(S, \alpha) \to O_{Hodge}(T) \backslash I^d(D_T)$$

by $\mu([(S_1, \alpha_1)]) := [g_1(\alpha_1^{-1}(\bar{1}))]$.

Lemma 3.2. *The map μ is well-defined and is injective.*

Proof. The ambiguity of the choice of a Hodge isometry $g_1 : T(S_1, \alpha_1) \simeq T$ comes from the action of $O_{Hodge}(T)$ so that the class $[g_1(\alpha_1^{-1}(\bar{1}))] \in O_{Hodge}(T) \backslash I^d(D_T)$ is well-defined from the partner (S_1, α_1). Since the map μ is defined in terms of $T(S_1)$ and α_1, it is clear that μ is well-defined as a map from $\mathcal{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$.

We prove the injectivity of μ. For two partners $(S_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$, $i = 1, 2$, and Hodge isometries $g_i : T(S_i, \alpha_i) \simeq T$, write $x_i := g_i(\alpha_i^{-1}(\bar{1})) \in I^d(D_T)$. Assume the existence of a Hodge isometry $\varphi \in O_{Hodge}(T)$ such that $x_2 = r(\varphi)(x_1)$. Since $r(\varphi)(\langle x_1 \rangle) = \langle x_2 \rangle \subset D_T$, φ extends to the Hodge isometry $\widetilde{\varphi} : T(S_1) \simeq T(S_2)$. By the equality $r(\varphi)(g_1(\alpha_1^{-1}(\bar{1}))) = g_2(\alpha_2^{-1}(\bar{1}))$ we have $\widetilde{\varphi}^* \alpha_2 = \alpha_1$. Hence $[(S_1, \alpha_1)] = [(S_2, \alpha_2)] \in \mathcal{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$. □

Proposition 3.3. *If the twisted $K3$ surface (S, α) has an untwisted FM partner, then the map μ is bijective.*

Proof. It suffices to show the surjectivity. Let S' be an untwisted FM partner of (S, α). Since there exists a Hodge isometry $T(S') \simeq T$, we may assume from the first that (S, α) itself is untwisted. Take an isotropic element $x \in I^d(D_T) = I^d(D_{T(S)})$. Via the isometry $\lambda : (D_{T(S)}, q) \simeq (D_{\widetilde{NS}(S)}, -q)$, we obtain an isotropic element $\lambda(x) \in I^d(D_{\widetilde{NS}(S)})$. Set

$$\widetilde{M_x} := \langle \lambda(x),\ \widetilde{NS}(S) \rangle\ \subset \widetilde{NS}(S)^{\vee},$$
$$T_x := \langle x,\ T(S) \rangle\ \subset T(S)^{\vee},$$

which are even overlattices of $\widetilde{NS}(S)$, $T(S)$ respectively.

Via the isometry

$$(D_{T_x}, q) \simeq (\langle x \rangle^{\perp} / \langle x \rangle, q) \simeq (\langle \lambda(x) \rangle^{\perp} / \langle \lambda(x) \rangle, -q) \simeq (D_{\widetilde{M_x}}, -q),$$

we obtain an embedding $\widetilde{M_x} \oplus T_x \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}_{K3}$ with both $\widetilde{M_x}$ and T_x embedded primitively. Since $\widetilde{NS}(S) \subset \widetilde{M_x}$, the lattice $\widetilde{M_x}$ admits an embedding φ of the hyperbolic plane U. Then the lattice $\Lambda_\varphi := \varphi(U)^\perp \cap \widetilde{\Lambda}_{K3}$ is isometric to the $K3$ lattice Λ_{K3} and has the period induced from T_x. Now the surjectivity of the period map ([12], [14]) assures the existences of a $K3$ surface S_φ and a Hodge isometry $\Phi : H^2(S_\varphi, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda_\varphi$. Pulling back the homomorphism

$$\alpha_x : T_x \to T_x/T(S) \simeq \langle x \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha_x(x) = \bar{1}$$

by $\Phi|_{T(S_\varphi)}$, we obtain a twisted $K3$ surface $(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi)$.

Since there exists a Hodge isometry $T(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}(\alpha_x) = T(S)$, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that $(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi) \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S)$. By the construction, we have $\mu([(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi)]) = [x]$. □

In general, there is a condition on the image of μ. Let $B \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$ be a B-field lift of $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(S)$. There is a natural isometry $\lambda : (D_T, q) \simeq (D_{\widetilde{NS}(S,B)}, -q)$. We define

$$J^d(D_T) := \{x \in I^d(D_T),\ \mathrm{Emb}(U, \langle \lambda(x), \widetilde{NS}(S, B)\rangle) \neq \phi\}. \tag{3}$$

One can verify that the set $J^d(D_T)$ is independent of the choice of a lift B.

Proposition 3.4. *We have the inclusion*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mu) \subset O_{Hodge}(T)\backslash J^d(D_T).$$

Proof. For a twisted FM partner $(S_1, \alpha_1) \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$, write $[x] := \mu([(S_1, \alpha_1)])$. By Proposition 2.2, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{NS}(S_1)$ and $\widetilde{M} := \langle \lambda(x), \widetilde{NS}(S, B)\rangle$ are isogenus. We have the isometry

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\widetilde{NS}(S_1)}, q) &\simeq (D_{T(S_1)}, -q) \simeq (\langle x \rangle^\perp/\langle x \rangle, -q) \\ &\simeq (\langle \lambda(x) \rangle^\perp/\langle \lambda(x) \rangle, q) \simeq (D_{\widetilde{M}}, q). \end{aligned}$$

□

We make the following identification tacitly in Section 4. For $x \in I^d(D_T)$ such that $[x] = \mu([(S_1, \alpha_1)])$, set $T_x := \langle x, T \rangle$. For a Hodge isometry $g_1 : T(S_1, \alpha_1) \simeq T$ with $g_1(\alpha_1^{-1}(\bar{1})) = x$, by Proposition 2.2 there exists an isometry

$$\gamma : \widetilde{NS}(S_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \langle \lambda(x), \widetilde{NS}(S, B)\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad r(\gamma) \circ \lambda_{\widetilde{H}(S_1,\mathbb{Z})} = \bar{\lambda} \circ r(\bar{g_1}).$$

Here the Hodge isometry $\bar{g_1} : T(S_1) \simeq T_x$ is induced from g_1, and the isometry $\bar{\lambda} : (D_{T_x}, q) \simeq (D_{\langle \lambda(x), \widetilde{NS}(S,B)\rangle}, -q)$ is induced from λ.

3.2 Embeddings of the hyperbolic plane

Let $(S_1, \alpha_1) \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha)$ be a twisted FM partner of (S, α). Recall from Section 2.2 that we have defined the finite-index subgroup $\Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1) \subset O(\widetilde{NS}(S_1))$. The subgroup $\Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)^+ \subset \Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)$ consists of orientation-preserving isometries in $\Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)$ (see Section 2.1). We define the map

$$\nu : \pi^{-1}\Big(\pi((S_1,\alpha_1))\Big) \to \Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)^+\backslash \mathrm{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1))$$

as follows. For a twisted FM partner $(S_2,\alpha_2) \in \pi^{-1}\Big(\pi((S_1,\alpha_1))\Big)$ there exists a Hodge isometry $g : T(S_2) \overset{\simeq}{\to} T(S_1)$ with $g^*\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. By Proposition 2.2, g can be extended to a Hodge isometry $\widetilde{\Phi} : \widetilde{H}(S_2,\mathbb{Z}) \overset{\simeq}{\to} \widetilde{H}(S_1,\mathbb{Z})$ such that the isometry $\widetilde{\Phi}|_{\widetilde{NS}(S_2)} : \widetilde{NS}(S_2) \overset{\simeq}{\to} \widetilde{NS}(S_1)$ is orientation-preserving. Then, by considering $\widetilde{\Phi} : H^0(S_2,\mathbb{Z}) + H^4(S_2,\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{NS}(S_1)$, we obtain an embedding $\varphi : U \hookrightarrow \widetilde{NS}(S_1)$. Here we identify $H^0(S_2,\mathbb{Z}) + H^4(S_2,\mathbb{Z})$ with U by identifying $(1,0,0)$ with e, and $(0,0,-1)$ with f.

Lemma 3.5. *The assignment* $(S_2,\alpha_2) \mapsto [\varphi]$ *defines an injective map*

$$\nu : \pi^{-1}\Big(\pi((S_1,\alpha_1))\Big) \to \Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)^+\backslash \mathrm{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1)).$$

Proof. Firstly we prove that ν is well-defined. Let us given two Hodge isometries $g, g' : T(S_2) \overset{\simeq}{\to} T(S_1)$ with $g^*\alpha_1 = (g')^*\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. Let $\gamma, \gamma' : \widetilde{NS}(S_2) \overset{\simeq}{\to} \widetilde{NS}(S_1)$ be orientation-preserving isometries such that $\gamma \oplus g$ and $\gamma' \oplus g'$ extend to Hodge isometries $\widetilde{H}(S_2,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \widetilde{H}(S_1,\mathbb{Z})$. Then the isometry $\gamma' \circ \gamma^{-1} \in O(\widetilde{NS}(S_1))$ preserves the orientation and satisfies $\lambda \circ r(\gamma' \circ \gamma^{-1}) = r(g' \circ g^{-1}) \circ \lambda$, so that we have $\gamma' \in \Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)^+ \cdot \gamma$. Hence the map ν is well-defined.

We prove the injectivity of ν. For two partners $(S_i,\alpha_i) \in \pi^{-1}\Big(\pi((S_1,\alpha_1))\Big)$, $i = 2,3$, set $[\varphi_i] := \nu((S_i,\alpha_i))$ and assume the existence of an isometry $\gamma \in \Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)^+$ such that $\varphi_2 = \gamma \circ \varphi_3$. We can extend γ to a Hodge isometry $\widetilde{\Phi} : \widetilde{H}(S_1,\mathbb{Z}) \overset{\simeq}{\to} \widetilde{H}(S_1,\mathbb{Z})$ with $(\widetilde{\Phi}|_{T(S_1)})^*\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$. By restricting $\widetilde{\Phi}$ to $\varphi_2(U)^\perp \cap \widetilde{H}(S_1,\mathbb{Z})$, we obtain a Hodge isometry $\Phi : H^2(S_2,\mathbb{Z}) \overset{\simeq}{\to} H^2(S_3,\mathbb{Z})$ with $(\Phi|_{T(S_2)})^*\alpha_3 = \alpha_2$. Since γ preserves the orientation, Φ maps the positive cone $NS(S_2)^+$ to the positive cone $NS(S_3)^+$. Composing Φ with an element of the Weyl group $W(S_3)$, we may assume that Φ is effective. Then it follows from the Torelli theorem ([11], [14]) that $(S_3,\alpha_3) \simeq (S_2,\alpha_2)$. □

Proposition 3.6. *If* (S,α) *has an untwisted FM partner, then the map* ν *for every twisted FM partner* $(S_1,\alpha_1) \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S,\alpha)$ *is bijective.*

Proof. We may assume that (S,α) itself is untwisted. To prove the surjectivity, we shall construct a twisted $K3$ surface $(S_\varphi,\alpha_\varphi)$ from an arbitrary embedding $\varphi : U \hookrightarrow \widetilde{NS}(S_1)$. The lattice $\Lambda_\varphi := \varphi(U)^\perp \cap \widetilde{H}(S_1,\mathbb{Z})$ is isometric to the $K3$ lattice Λ_{K3} and possesses the period induced from $T(S_1)$. On the other hand, the lattice $M_\varphi := \varphi(U)^\perp \cap \widetilde{NS}(S_1)$ has the orientation induced from φ and the orientation of $\widetilde{NS}(S_1)$. That is, we can choose a connected component M_φ^+ of the open set $\{v \in M_\varphi \otimes \mathbb{R},\ (v,v) > 0\}$ so that for each vector $v \in M_\varphi^+$ the oriented positive-definite two-plane $\mathbb{R}\varphi(e+f) \oplus \mathbb{R}v \subset \widetilde{NS}(S_1) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is of positive orientation. By the surjectivity of the period map, there exist a $K3$ surface S_φ and a Hodge isometry $\Phi : H^2(S_\varphi, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda_\varphi$ such that $\Phi(NS(S_\varphi)^+) = M_\varphi^+$. Pulling back α_1 by $\Phi|_{T(S_\varphi)}$, we obtain a twisted $K3$ surface $(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi)$.

Since there is a Hodge isometry

$$T(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi) \simeq T(S_1, \alpha_1) \simeq T(S),$$

we have an equivalence $D^b(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi) \simeq D^b(S)$ by Proposition 2.4. Hence we have $(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi) \in \pi^{-1}\Big(\pi((S_1, \alpha_1))\Big)$.

Since the direct sum of the Hodge isometry $\Phi|_{T(S_\varphi)} : T(S_\varphi) \xrightarrow{\simeq} T(S_1)$ satisfying $(\Phi|_{T(S_\varphi)})^*\alpha_1 = \alpha_\varphi$ and the orientation-preserving isometry

$$\varphi \oplus (\Phi|_{NS(S_\varphi)}) : \widetilde{NS}(S_\varphi) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \varphi(U) \oplus M_\varphi = \widetilde{NS}(S_1)$$

can be extended to the Hodge isometry $\varphi \oplus \Phi$, we have $\nu((S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi)) = [\varphi]$. $\square$

Remark 3.7. In his thesis [1], Căldăraru proposed the following problem:

Question 3.8 ([1])**.** *Let (S_1, α_1) be a twisted $K3$ surface. For each untwisted FM partner $S_2 \in \mathrm{FM}(S_1)$ and each Hodge isometry $g : T(S_2) \xrightarrow{\simeq} T(S_1)$, we obtain a twisted $K3$ surface $(S_2, g^*\alpha_1)$. Is it true that $(S_2, g^*\alpha_1) \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S_1, \alpha_1)$?*

From the construction of the twisted $K3$ surface $(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi)$ in the proof of Proposition 3.6, it is immediately verified that the map ν in Lemma 3.5 is bijective if and only if the answer to Căldăraru's question is positive for the twisted $K3$ surface (S_1, α_1) and each S_2, g.

3.3 Union of the two orbits

Given an embedding $\varphi : U \hookrightarrow \widetilde{NS}(S_1)$, we have the decomposition

$$\Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1) = \Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1)^+ \sqcup \Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1)^+ \cdot (-\mathrm{id}_{\varphi(U)} \oplus \mathrm{id}_{\varphi(U)^\perp}).$$

From this we obtain

$$\Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1) \cdot \varphi \ = \ \Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1)^+ \cdot \varphi \ \cup \ \Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1)^+ \cdot (-\varphi).$$

Lemma 3.9. *Let $(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi)$ be the twisted $K3$ surface constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.6. Then $-\varphi \in \Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1)^+ \cdot \varphi$ if and only if $(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi) \simeq (S_\varphi, -\alpha_\varphi)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $(S_{-\varphi}, \alpha_{-\varphi}) \simeq (S_\varphi, -\alpha_\varphi)$. Since $M_{-\varphi}^+ = -M_\varphi^+$, we have a Hodge isometry $\Phi : H^2(S_{-\varphi}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(S_\varphi, \mathbb{Z})$ with $(\Phi|_{T(S_{-\varphi})})^*\alpha_\varphi = \alpha_{-\varphi}$ and $\Phi(NS(S_{-\varphi})^+) = -NS(S_\varphi)^+$. Then $-\Phi : H^2(S_{-\varphi}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(S_\varphi, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies $(-\Phi|_{T(S_{-\varphi})})^*(-\alpha_\varphi) = \alpha_{-\varphi}$ and $-\Phi(NS(S_{-\varphi})^+) = NS(S_\varphi)^+$. $\square$

When $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha_1) \leq 2$, we have $\alpha_\varphi = -\alpha_\varphi$ so that $\Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1) \cdot \varphi = \Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1)^+ \cdot \varphi$ for every embedding φ. Hence we obtain the identification

$$\Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1)^+ \backslash \mathrm{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1)) \ \simeq \ \Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1) \backslash \mathrm{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1)).$$

Proposition 3.10. *Assume that* $\operatorname{ord}(\alpha_1) \geq 3$*. For an embedding* $\varphi : U \hookrightarrow \widetilde{NS}(S_1)$ *the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $(S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi) \simeq (S_\varphi, -\alpha_\varphi)$.

(ii) S_φ *admits an anti-symplectic automorphism.*

(iii) $\varphi(U)^\perp \cap \widetilde{NS}(S_1) \in \mathcal{G}_1(NS(S_1))$*, i.e,* $O(NS(S_\varphi))_0^+ \neq O(NS(S_\varphi))_0$.

Proof. (ii) ⇒ (i) is obvious.

(i) ⇒ (ii) : Assume the existence of an automorphism $f : (S_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi) \simeq (S_\varphi, -\alpha_\varphi)$. Since $\operatorname{ord}(\alpha_\varphi) \geq 3$, $\operatorname{ord}(f^*|_{T(S_\varphi)})$ must be even, say $2n$. As $O_{Hodge}(T(S_\varphi))$ is a cyclic group (cf. [5]), then f^n is an anti-symplectic automorphism of S_φ.

(ii) ⇒ (iii) : For an anti-symplectic automorphism f, we have $-f^*|_{NS(S_\varphi)} \in O(NS(S_\varphi))_0 - O(NS(S_\varphi))_0^+$.

(iii) ⇒ (ii) : Assume the existence of an isometry $\gamma \in O(NS(S_\varphi))_0 - O(NS(S_\varphi))_0^+$. Then we can extend the isometry $-\gamma \oplus -\mathrm{id}_{T(S_\varphi)}$ to the anti-symplectic Hodge isometry $\Phi : H^2(S_\varphi, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(S_\varphi, \mathbb{Z})$ which preserves the positive cone. Composing Φ with an element of the Weyl group $W(S_\varphi)$, we may assume that Φ is effective. □

4 The counting formula

Proposition 4.1. *For a twisted* $K3$ *surface* (S_1, α_1) *there exists a bijection*

$$\Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)\backslash \operatorname{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1)) \simeq \bigsqcup_{M \in \mathcal{G}(NS(S_1))} \Big(O_{Hodge}(T(S_1),\alpha_1)\backslash O(D_M)/O(M) \Big).$$

Proof. We can decompose the set $\operatorname{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1))$ as

$$\operatorname{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1)) = \bigsqcup_{M \in \mathcal{G}(NS(S_1))} \Big\{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1)),\ \ \varphi(U)^\perp \simeq M \Big\}.$$

The isometry group $O(\widetilde{NS}(S_1)) = O(M \oplus U)$ acts transitively on each component $\{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1)),\ \ \varphi(U)^\perp \simeq M \}$ with the stabilizer subgroup $O(M) \subset O(M \oplus U)$. From the surjectivity of $r : O(M \oplus U) \to O(D_{M \oplus U}) \simeq O(D_M)$ (Proposition 2.2) and the inclusion $O(M \oplus U)_0 \subset \Gamma(S_1, \alpha_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)\backslash \operatorname{Emb}(U, \widetilde{NS}(S_1)) &\simeq \bigsqcup_{M \in \mathcal{G}(NS(S_1))} \Big(\Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1)\backslash O(M \oplus U)/O(M) \Big) \\ &\simeq \bigsqcup_{M \in \mathcal{G}(NS(S_1))} \Big(r(\Gamma(S_1,\alpha_1))\backslash O(D_M)/r(O(M)) \Big).\end{aligned}$$

□

Let (S, α) be a twisted $K3$ surface with a B-field lift $B \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$. For an isotropic element $x \in I^d(D_{T(S,\alpha)})$ we define the lattice T_x by $T_x = \langle x, T(S,\alpha) \rangle$ and define the homomorphism $\alpha_x : T_x \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\alpha_x : T_x \twoheadrightarrow T_x/T(S,\alpha) \simeq \langle x \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha_x(x) = \bar{1}.$$

For a pair (x, M) such that $x \in I^d(D_{T(S,\alpha)}) \overset{\lambda}{\simeq} I^d(D_{\widetilde{NS}(S,B)})$ and $\langle \lambda(x), \widetilde{NS}(S,B) \rangle \simeq U \oplus M$, we define the natural number $\tau(x, M)$ by

$$\tau(x, M) := \#\Big(O_{Hodge}(T_x, \alpha_x) \backslash O(D_M)/O(M) \Big),$$

where the group $O_{Hodge}(T_x, \alpha_x)$ acts on $O(D_M)$ via $r : O_{Hodge}(T_x, \alpha_x) \to O(D_{T_x})$ and $\bar{\lambda} : O(D_{T_x}) \simeq O(D_{M \oplus U}) \simeq O(D_M)$. For a natural number d we define the natural number $\varepsilon(d)$ by

$$\varepsilon(d) = \begin{cases} 1, & d = 1, 2 \\ 2, & d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

From Section 3 and Proposition 4.1, we deduce the formula for $\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S)$.

Theorem 4.2. *For a $K3$ surface S the following formula holds.*

$$\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = \sum_x \Big\{ \sum_M \tau(x, M) + \varepsilon(d) \sum_{M'} \tau(x, M') \Big\}.$$

Here x runs over the set $O_{Hodge}(T(S)) \backslash I^d(D_{T(S)})$, and the lattices M, M' run over the sets $\mathcal{G}_1(\langle \lambda(x), NS(S) \rangle)$, $\mathcal{G}_2(\langle \lambda(x), NS(S) \rangle)$ respectively.

We also obtain the following inequality.

Proposition 4.3. *For a twisted $K3$ surface (S, α) the following inequality holds.*

$$\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha) \leq \sum_x \Big\{ \sum_M \tau(x, M) + \varepsilon(d) \sum_{M'} \tau(x, M') \Big\}.$$

Here x runs over the set $O_{Hodge}(T(S, \alpha)) \backslash J^d(D_{T(S,\alpha)})$, where $J^d(D_{T(S,\alpha)})$ is the set defined in (3). The lattices M, M' run over the sets $\mathcal{G}_1(M_\varphi)$, $\mathcal{G}_2(M_\varphi)$ respectively, where M_φ is a lattice satisfying $\langle \lambda(x), \widetilde{NS}(S, B) \rangle \simeq U \oplus M_\varphi$.

Corollary 4.4. *When $\widetilde{NS}(S, B)$ contains $U \oplus U$, then*

$$\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S, \alpha) = \# \left(O_{Hodge}(T(S, \alpha)) \backslash I^d(D_{T(S,\alpha)}) \right).$$

Proof. By considering $U^\perp \cap \widetilde{H}(S, B, \mathbb{Z})$, one observes the existence of an untwisted FM partner $S' \in \mathrm{FM}^1(S, \alpha)$. We have a Hodge isometry $T(S, \alpha) \simeq T(S')$. Since $\widetilde{NS}(S')$ contains $U \oplus U$, then $NS(S')$ contains U. Now we apply Theorem 4.2 to S'. For $x \in I^d(D_{T(S')})$, the lattice $M_x := \langle \lambda(x), NS(S') \rangle$ admits an embedding of U so that $\mathcal{G}(M_x) = \{M_x\}$ and $\tau(x, M_x) = 1$ by Proposition 2.2. Then $\mathcal{G}(M_x) = \mathcal{G}_1(M_x)$ due to the existence of the isometry $-\mathrm{id}_U \oplus \mathrm{id}_{U^\perp}$. □

By [9], $\widetilde{NS}(S, B)$ admits an embedding of $U \oplus U$ if $\mathrm{rk}(NS(S)) \geq 13$.

Corollary 4.5. *When the lattice $NS(S)$ is 2-elementary, i.e, $D_{NS(S)} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^a$, then $\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = 0$ unless $d = 1, 2$. If $d = 1, 2$, we have*

$$\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = \# \left(O_{Hodge}(T(S)) \backslash I^d(D_{T(S)}) \right).$$

Proof. Because the lattice $M_x := \langle \lambda(x), NS(S) \rangle$ is also 2-elementary, $\mathcal{G}(M_x) = \{M_x\}$ and $\tau(x, M_x) = 1$ by [9]. □

5 Twisted Fourier-Mukai partners of a $K3$ surface of Picard number 1

Let S be a $K3$ surface with $NS(S) = \mathbb{Z}H$, $(H, H) = 2n$. In this section we shall describe all twisted FM partners of S (up to isomorphism) as moduli spaces of stable sheaves on S with explicit Mukai vectors, endowed with natural twistings. First of all, we calculate the twisted FM numbers by Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 5.1. *For a natural number d, we have $\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = 0$ unless $d^2|n$. If $d^2|n$, then*

$$\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = \begin{cases} \varphi(d) \cdot 2^{\tau(d^{-2}n)-2} & d \geq 3, d^2 = n, \\ \varphi(d) \cdot 2^{\tau(d^{-2}n)-1} & d = 1, 2 \text{ or } d^2 < n. \end{cases}$$

Here φ is the Euler function and $\tau(m)$ is the number of the prime factors of m. We define $\tau(1) = 1$.

Proof. We have $D_{NS(S)} = \langle \frac{H}{2n} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$ and $O_{Hodge}(T(S)) = \{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ (cf. [5]). Since

$$I^d(D_{NS(S)}) \neq \phi \;\Leftrightarrow\; (\frac{H}{d}, \frac{H}{d}) = \frac{2n}{d^2} \in 2\mathbb{Z} \;\Leftrightarrow\; d^2|n,$$

it follows that $\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = 0$ unless $d^2|n$.

Assume that $d^2|n$. Then we have $I^d(D_{NS(S)}) = \{k\frac{H}{d},\, k \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times\}$ so that

$$\#(O_{Hodge}(T(S))\backslash I^d(D_{NS(S)})) = \begin{cases} 1 & d = 1, 2, \\ \frac{1}{2}\varphi(d) & d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

For $d \geq 3$ and $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we have $\langle \mathbb{Z}H, k\frac{H}{d} \rangle = \mathbb{Z}\frac{H}{d}$ and

$$\mathcal{G}\Big(\mathbb{Z}\frac{H}{d}\Big) = \Big\{\mathbb{Z}\frac{H}{d}\Big\} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{Z}\frac{H}{d}) & d^2 = n, \\ \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{Z}\frac{H}{d}) & d^2 < n. \end{cases}$$

Because $O_{Hodge}(T_{k\frac{H}{d}}, \alpha_{k\frac{H}{d}}) = \{\mathrm{id}\}$, $O(\mathbb{Z}\frac{H}{d}) = \{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ and

$$O(D_{\mathbb{Z}\frac{H}{d}}) \simeq \begin{cases} \{\mathrm{id}\} & d^2 = n, \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\tau(d^{-2}n)} & d^2 < n, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

it follows from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\varphi(d) \cdot 1 \cdot 2^{\tau(d^{-2}n)-1} & d^2 = n, \\ \frac{1}{2}\varphi(d) \cdot 2 \cdot 2^{\tau(d^{-2}n)-1} & d^2 < n. \end{cases}$$

Let $d \leq 2$. Since $O_{Hodge}(T_{\frac{H}{d}}, \alpha_{\frac{H}{d}}) = O(\mathbb{Z}\frac{H}{d}) = \{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ and the isomorphisms (4) still hold, we have $\#\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = 2^{\tau(d^{-2}n)-1} = \varphi(d) \cdot 2^{\tau(d^{-2}n)-1}$ by Theorem 4.2. $\square$

Now we shall construct the moduli spaces. Since the primitive embeddings of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}H$ into the $K3$ lattice Λ_{K3} are unique modulo $O(\Lambda_{K3})$, we fix an isometry $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Lambda_{K3} = U^3 \oplus E_8^2$ so that H is written as $H = nu + v$, where $\{u, v\}$ is a standard basis of the first U. Then the transcendental lattice $T(S)$ is written as $T(S) = \mathbb{Z}(nu - v) \oplus U^2 \oplus E_8^2$. Set $G := nu - v \in T(S)$.

For a natural number d with $d^2 | n$, let $d^{-2}n = \prod_{i=1}^{\tau(d^{-2}n)} p_i^{e_i}$ be the prime decomposition. When $d^2 = n$, we put $p_1 = e_1 = 1$. Set

$$\Sigma := \Big\{ \{i_1, \cdots, i_a\} \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, \tau(d^{-2}n)\} \Big| \{i_1, \cdots, i_a\} \neq \phi, \prod_{\{i_1,\cdots,i_a\}} p_i^{2e_i} \geq \frac{n}{d^2} \Big\}.$$

We have $\#\Sigma = 2^{\tau(d^{-2}n)-1}$. For $\sigma = \{i_1, \cdots, i_a\} \in \Sigma$, set $r_\sigma := \prod_{i\in\sigma} p_i^{e_i}$ and $s_\sigma := r_\sigma^{-1} d^{-2} n$. Then r_σ is coprime to s_σ. We have $r_\sigma > s_\sigma$ if $d^2 < n$. Let $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$. By the arithmetic progression, we can find a natural number $\tilde{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime to $2n$ with $\tilde{k} \equiv k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. For example, we may take $\tilde{k} = 1$ if $k = \bar{1}$. Fix such $\tilde{k}$ for each $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$. For $(\sigma, k) \in \Sigma \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we define

$$v_{\sigma,k} := (dr_\sigma, \tilde{k}H, \tilde{k}^2 ds_\sigma) \in \widetilde{NS}(S). \tag{5}$$

Then $v_{\sigma,k}$ is a primitive isotropic vector in $\widetilde{NS}(S)$ with $\mathrm{div}(v_{\sigma,k}) = d$.

Let $M_{\sigma,k} := M_H(v_{\sigma,k})$ be the coarse moduli space of Gieseker stable sheaves on S with Mukai vector $v_{\sigma,k}$. It follows from [8] that $M_{\sigma,k}$ is non-empty and is a $K3$ surface. Let $\alpha_{\sigma,k} \in \mathrm{Br}(M_{\sigma,k})$ be the obstruction to the existence of a universal sheaf ([2]) with a B-field lift $B_{\sigma,k} \in H^2(M_{\sigma,k}, \mathbb{Q})$. By [6], there exists an orientation-preserving Hodge isometry

$$\widetilde{\Phi} : \widetilde{H}(M_{\sigma,k}, B_{\sigma,k}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \widetilde{H}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \ \text{ with } (0,0,1) \mapsto v_{\sigma,k}, \tag{6}$$

which induces a Hodge isometry

$$H^2(M_{\sigma,k}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Big(v_{\sigma,k}^\perp \cap \widetilde{H}(S,\mathbb{Z})\Big)/\mathbb{Z}v_{\sigma,k}. \tag{7}$$

(We remark that the Hodge isometry (7) was proved first by [8].)

Using (7), we shall calculate the isometry

$$\lambda_{\sigma,k} := \lambda_{H^2(M_{\sigma,k},\mathbb{Z})} : (D_{NS(M_{\sigma,k})}, q) \simeq (D_{T(M_{\sigma,k})}, -q).$$

Firstly, direct calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} NS(M_{\sigma,k}) &\simeq \Big(v_{\sigma,k}^\perp \cap \widetilde{NS}(S)\Big)/\mathbb{Z}v_{\sigma,k} \\ &\simeq \Big(v_{\sigma,k}^\perp \cap \Big\langle \frac{v_{\sigma,k}}{d}, \widetilde{NS}(S) \Big\rangle\Big)/\mathbb{Z}\frac{v_{\sigma,k}}{d} \\ &= \Big(\mathbb{Z}\frac{v_{\sigma,k}}{d} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(0, -\frac{H}{d}, -2s_\sigma\tilde{k})\Big)/\mathbb{Z}\frac{v_{\sigma,k}}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$H_{\sigma,k} := \Big[(0, -\frac{H}{d}, -2s_\sigma\tilde{k})\Big] \ \in NS(M_{\sigma,k}).$$

We have $NS(M_{\sigma,k}) = \mathbb{Z}H_{\sigma,k}$ and $\tilde{k}H_{\sigma,k} = [(r_\sigma, 0, -s_\sigma\tilde{k}^2)]$. Since the Hodge isometry (6) is orientation-preserving, $H_{\sigma,k}$ is the ample generator of $NS(M_{\sigma,k})$. For the transcendental lattice we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(M_{\sigma,k}) &\simeq \overline{T(S) \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_{\sigma,k}}/\mathbb{Z}v_{\sigma,k} \\ &= \Big(\Big\langle \mathbb{Z}v_{\sigma,k} \oplus \mathbb{Z}G, \frac{v_{\sigma,k} + \tilde{k}G}{d}\Big\rangle \oplus U^2 \oplus E_8^2\Big)/\mathbb{Z}v_{\sigma,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\overline{L}$ means the primitive hull of the lattice L in $\widetilde{H}(S,\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\lambda_{\widetilde{H}(S,\mathbb{Z})}(\frac{v_{\sigma,k}}{d}) = \frac{\tilde{k}G}{d} \in D_{T(S)}$, it follows from Lemma 2.7 and the Hodge isometry (6) that the twisting $\alpha_{\sigma,k}$ is given by

$$\alpha_{\sigma,k} : T(M_{\sigma,k}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Phi}\circ \mathrm{e}^{B_{\sigma,k}}} \Big\langle \frac{G}{d}, T(S)\Big\rangle/T(S) \simeq \Big\langle \frac{-\tilde{k}G}{d}\Big\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}. \tag{8}$$

Via the Hodge isometry (7), we obtain a Hodge isometry

$$g_{\sigma,k} := \widetilde{\Phi} \circ \mathrm{e}^{B_{\sigma,k}} : T(M_{\sigma,k}) \simeq \Big\langle \frac{G}{d}, T(S)\Big\rangle, \quad g_{\sigma,k}\Big(\Big[\frac{\tilde{k}G + v_{\sigma,k}}{d}\Big]\Big) = \frac{\tilde{k}G}{d}. \tag{9}$$

Set $G_{\sigma,k} := g_{\sigma,k}^{-1}(\frac{G}{d})$, which is a primitive vector in $T(M_{\sigma,k})$. From (9), for $(\sigma,k),(\sigma',k') \in \Sigma \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$ we have a Hodge isometry

$$g_{\sigma,k}^{\sigma',k'} := g_{\sigma',k'}^{-1} \circ g_{\sigma,k} : T(M_{\sigma,k}) \simeq T(M_{\sigma',k'}), \quad g_{\sigma,k}^{\sigma',k'}(G_{\sigma,k}) = G_{\sigma',k'}. \tag{10}$$

Therefore we have an equivalence $D^b(M_{\sigma,k}) \simeq D^b(M_{\sigma',k'})$ by Proposition 2.4. Note that the Hodge isometries from $T(M_{\sigma,k})$ to $T(M_{\sigma',k'})$ are just $\{\pm g_{\sigma,k}^{\sigma',k'}\}$.

Now we calculate $\lambda_{\sigma,k}$ as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{NS(M_{\sigma,k})} &\simeq \Big\langle \frac{\tilde{k}H_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma}\Big\rangle = \Big\langle \big(\frac{1}{2s_\sigma}, 0, \frac{-\tilde{k}^2}{2r_\sigma}\big)\Big\rangle, \\ D_{T(M_{\sigma,k})} &\simeq \Big\langle \frac{\tilde{k}G_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma}\Big\rangle = \Big\langle \big(\frac{1}{2s_\sigma}, \tilde{k}du, \frac{\tilde{k}^2}{2r_\sigma}\big)\Big\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly assume that $r_\sigma s_\sigma$ is odd. With respect to orthogonal decompositions

$$D_{NS(M_{\sigma,k})} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\tau(r_\sigma s_\sigma)} (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}), \quad D_{T(M_{\sigma,k})} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\tau(r_\sigma s_\sigma)} (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}),$$

we write

$$\frac{H_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma} = (\bar{1}, x_{\sigma,k}^1, \cdots, x_{\sigma,k}^{\tau(r_\sigma s_\sigma)}), \quad \frac{G_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma} = (\bar{1}, y_{\sigma,k}^1, \cdots, y_{\sigma,k}^{\tau(r_\sigma s_\sigma)}). \tag{11}$$

Each $x_{\sigma,k}^i$, $y_{\sigma,k}^i$ are generators of $\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}$. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\sigma\frac{\tilde{k}H_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma} + s_\sigma\frac{\tilde{k}G_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma} &= [(1, \tilde{k}ds_\sigma u, 0)] \ \in H^2(M_{\sigma,k},\mathbb{Z}), \\ -r_\sigma\frac{\tilde{k}H_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma} + r_\sigma\frac{\tilde{k}G_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma} &= [(0, \tilde{k}dr_\sigma u, \tilde{k}^2)] \ \in H^2(M_{\sigma,k},\mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

the isometry $\lambda_{\sigma,k} = \lambda_{H^2(M_{\sigma,k},\mathbb{Z})}$ is determined by

$$\lambda_{\sigma,k}(x^i_{\sigma,k}) = \begin{cases} \;\; y^i_{\sigma,k} & i \in \sigma, \\ -y^i_{\sigma,k} & i \notin \sigma. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

If $r_\sigma s_\sigma$ is even, put $p_1 = 2$ and write

$$\frac{H_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma} = (x^1_{\sigma,k}, \cdots, x^{\tau(r_\sigma s_\sigma)}_{\sigma,k}) \quad \in \quad D_{NS(M_{\sigma,k})} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_1+1}\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{\tau(r_\sigma s_\sigma)} (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$\frac{G_{\sigma,k}}{2r_\sigma s_\sigma} = (y^1_{\sigma,k}, \cdots, y^{\tau(r_\sigma s_\sigma)}_{\sigma,k}) \quad \in \quad D_{T(M_{\sigma,k})} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_1+1}\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{\tau(r_\sigma s_\sigma)} (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then $\lambda_{\sigma,k}$ is determined by the same equations as (12).

Proposition 5.2. *For the twisted $K3$ surfaces $(M_{\sigma,k}, \alpha_{\sigma,k})$ the followings hold.*

(1) *The underlying $K3$ surfaces $\{M_{\sigma,k},\ (\sigma,k) \in \Sigma \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times\}$ are derived equivalent to each other.*

(2) *We have $M_{\sigma,k} \simeq M_{\sigma',k'}$ if and only if $\sigma = \sigma'$.*

(3) *We have $(M_{\sigma,k}, \alpha_{\sigma,k}) \simeq (M_{\sigma,1}, k^{-1}\alpha_{\sigma,1})$.*

Proof. We already proved the assertion (1) using Mukai-Orlov's theorem.

Assume the existence of an effective Hodge isometry $\Phi : H^2(M_{\sigma,k},\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(M_{\sigma',k'},\mathbb{Z})$ for $(\sigma,k), (\sigma',k') \in \Sigma \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$. We must have $\Phi(H_{\sigma,k}) = H_{\sigma',k'}$ and $\Phi(G_{\sigma,k}) = \pm G_{\sigma',k'}$. Since the identity $\lambda_{\sigma',k'} \circ r(\Phi|_{NS(M_{\sigma,k})}) = r(\Phi|_{T(M_{\sigma,k})}) \circ \lambda_{\sigma,k}$ holds, it follows from (12) that $\sigma = \sigma'$ or $(\sigma')^c$. By the definition of Σ we have $\sigma = \sigma'$.

Conversely let $\sigma = \sigma'$. If we define $\gamma^{\sigma,1}_{\sigma,k} : NS(M_{\sigma,k}) \simeq NS(M_{\sigma,1})$ by $\gamma^{\sigma,1}_{\sigma,k}(H_{\sigma,k}) = H_{\sigma,1}$, then $\gamma^{\sigma,1}_{\sigma,k} \oplus g^{\sigma,1}_{\sigma,k}$ extends to an effective Hodge isometry $H^2(M_{\sigma,k},\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(M_{\sigma,1},\mathbb{Z})$. Since the twistings $\alpha_{\sigma,k}$ are given by (8), we have $(g^{\sigma,1}_{\sigma,k})^*\alpha_{\sigma,1} = k\alpha_{\sigma,k}$, or equivalently, $(g^{\sigma,1}_{\sigma,k})^*(k^{-1}\alpha_{\sigma,1}) = \alpha_{\sigma,k}$. □

Finally, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let S be a $K3$ surface with $NS(S) = \mathbb{Z}H$, $(H,H) = 2n$, and let d be a natural number satisfying $d^2 | n$.*

(1) *If $d^2 < n$ or $d \leq 2$, then*

$$\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = \left\{ (M_{\sigma,k}, \alpha_{\sigma,k}) |\ (\sigma,k) \in \Sigma \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times \right\}.$$

(2) *Assume $d^2 = n$ and $d \geq 3$. Choose a set $\{j\} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of representatives of $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$. then*

$$\mathrm{FM}^d(S) = \{ (M_{\sigma,k}, \alpha_{\sigma,k}) |\ (\sigma,k) \in \Sigma \times \{j\} \}.$$

Proof. That $(M_{\sigma,k}, \alpha_{\sigma,k}) \in \mathrm{FM}^d(S)$ is a direct consequence of Căldăraru's theorem ([2]). By Proposition 5.1, it suffices to show that the twisted $K3$ surfaces in the right hand sides are not isomorphic to each other.

(1) By Proposition 5.2, the right hand side is identified with the set

$$\{(M_{\sigma,1}, k\alpha_{\sigma,1}),\ (\sigma, k) \in \Sigma \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times\}.$$

We have $(M_{\sigma,1}, k\alpha_{\sigma,1}) \not\simeq (M_{\sigma',1}, k'\alpha_{\sigma',1})$ if $\sigma \neq \sigma'$. If $d^2 < n$, then $Aut(M_{\sigma,1}) = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ so that $(M_{\sigma,1}, k\alpha_{\sigma,1}) \not\simeq (M_{\sigma,1}, k'\alpha_{\sigma,1})$ if $k \neq k'$. If $d \leq 2$, there remains nothing to prove.

(2) Let $d^2 = n$ and $d \geq 3$. The right hand side is identified with the set

$$\{(M_{\sigma,1}, k\alpha_{\sigma,1}),\ (\sigma, k) \in \Sigma \times \{j\}\}.$$

Similarly as (1), We have $(M_{\sigma,1}, k\alpha_{\sigma,1}) \not\simeq (M_{\sigma',1}, k'\alpha_{\sigma',1})$ if $\sigma \neq \sigma'$. Now the $K3$ surface $M_{\sigma,1}$ admits an anti-symplectic involution $\iota_{\sigma,1}$ and $Aut(M_{\sigma,1}) = \{\mathrm{id}, \iota_{\sigma,1}\}$. Therefore $(M_{\sigma,1}, k\alpha_{\sigma,1}) \not\simeq (M_{\sigma,1}, k'\alpha_{\sigma,1})$ if $k \neq \pm k'$. □

When $d = 1$ with $\tilde{k} = 1$, the result is proved in [4].

References

[1] Căldăraru, A. *Derived Categories of Twisted Sheaves on Calabi-Yau Manifolds.* Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, 2000.

[2] Căldăraru, A. *Nonfine moduli spaces of sheaves on $K3$ surfaces.* Int. Math. Res. Not. 2002, no. **20**, 1027–1056.

[3] Canonaco, A; Stellari, P. *Twisted Fourier-Mukai functors.* Adv. Math. **212** (2007), no. 2, 484–503.

[4] Hosono, S.; Lian, B. H.; Oguiso, K.; Yau, S.-T. *Fourier-Mukai partners of a $K3$ surface of Picard number one.* Vector bundles and representation theory , 43–55, Contemp. Math., **322**, 2003.

[5] Hosono, S.; Lian, B. H.; Oguiso, K.; Yau, S.-T. *Fourier-Mukai number of a K3 surface.* Algebraic structures and moduli spaces, 177–192, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, **38**, 2004

[6] Huybrechts, D. ; Stellari, P. *Equivalences of twisted $K3$ surfaces.* Math. Ann. **332** (2005), no. 4, 901–936.

[7] Huybrechts, D.; Stellari, P. *Proof of Căldăraru's conjecture.* Appendix: "Moduli spaces of twisted sheaves on a projective variety" by K. Yoshioka. Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry, 31–42, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **45**, 2006.

[8] Mukai, S. *On the moduli space of bundles on $K3$ surfaces. I.* Vector bundles on algebraic varieties, 341–413, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., **11**, 1987.

[9] Nikulin, V. V. *Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), no. 1, 111–177

[10] Orlov, D. *Equivalences of derived categories and $K3$ surfaces.* J. Math. Sci. (New York) **84** (1997), no. 5, 1361–1381.

[11] Pyateskii-Shapiro, I. I.; Shafarevich, I. R. *Torelli's theorem for algebraic surfaces of type $K3$.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **35** 1971 530–572.

[12] Todorov, A. N. *Applications of the Kahler-Einstein-Calabi-Yau metric to moduli of $K3$ surfaces.* Invent. Math. **61** (1980), no. 3, 251–265

[13] Yoshioka, K. *Moduli spaces of twisted sheaves on a projective variety.* Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry, 1–30, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **45**, 2006.

[14] *Geometrie des surfaces $K3$: modules et periodes.* Asterisque No. **126** (1985).